UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,245	04/29/2005	Peter Dam Nielsen	915-008.034	5173
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5			EXAMINER	
			PHUONG, DAI	
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/533,245	DAM NIELSEN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DAI A. PHUONG	2617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second seco	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	awn from consideration. or election requirement.			
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 April 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 08/14/2008, with respect to claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Claims 1-38 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-24, 26-27 and 29-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jambhenkar et al. (U.S. 6430405) in view Kamimura (Pub. No: 20020094806).

Regarding claim 1, Jambhenkar et al. disclose a communication apparatus comprising:

a controller 115 (fig. 1, col. 3, lines 4-43. Jambhenkar et al. disclose the radio communication device 103 includes an antenna 105, a body housing element 107, and \underline{a} processor 115. The processor 115 formats the data output from the radio circuitry 113 into a recognizable voice or messaging information for use by the user interface 117. The user interface 117 communicates the received information or voice to a user through the use of the speaker 123 and the display 119);

an interface 105/113 adapted to receive an electronic message (col. 7, lines 10-32. Jambhenkar et al. disclose that if the user desires to read messages and selects a "Read Messages" option, the user may select a particular message type, for example, phone, e-mail, or

fax. It is inherent that the interface is able to receive the electronic message in order to display

to the user);

a display 119 (fig. 1, col. 3, lines 4-43. Jambhenkar et al. disclose the radio

Page 3

communication device 103 includes an antenna 105, a body housing element 107, a processor

115, and a portion of a user interface 117. The user interface 117 includes a display 119, a

microphone 121, a speaker 123, and a keypad 125); and

a memory, said memory being adapted to store image data representing at least one

predefined icon to be presented on said display so as to indicate receipt of said electronic

message,

wherein a memory is adapted to store an association between the or each predefined icon

and a sender of electronic messages (Jambhenkar discloses in Figure. 4 and Column 5, line 38 to

Column 6, line 10 that when the user selects a phone book directory mode, it prompts the user to

select an image (predefined icon, e.g., work icon, house icon, car icon, SMS icon and E-Mail

icon, see Figure. 5) associated with the number (this is a sender message number). The image

and associated number will store in the phone book directory).

However, Jambhenkar et al. do not disclose a memory, said memory being adapted to

store image data representing at least one predefined icon to be presented on said display so as to

indicate receipt of said electronic message; and wherein said controller is adapted to determine a

sender of said received electronic message, to match the sender thus determined with the or each

predefined icon by way of said association, and to present a matching icon, if any, on said

display to indicate receipt of said received electronic message as well as the sender thereof.

In the same field of endeavor, Kamimura discloses

Application/Control Number: 10/533,245 Page 4

Art Unit: 2617

a controller 100 (fig. 1, [0023] to [0052]);

an interface adapted to receive an electronic message 10 and 11 (fig. 1, [0023] to [0052]);

a display 71(fig. 1, [0023] to [0052]); and

a memory 60, said memory being adapted to store image data representing at least one predefined icon to be presented on said display so as to indicate receipt of said electronic message (fig. 1, [0023] to [0052]),

wherein said memory is adapted to store an association between the or each predefined icon and a sender of electronic messages ([0078] to [0081]).

said controller is adapted to determine a sender of said received electronic message, to match the sender thus determined with the or each predefined icon by way of said association, and to present a matching icon, if any, on said display to indicate receipt of said received electronic message as well as the sender thereof ([0078] to [0081]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Jambhenkar et al. by specifically including a controller; an interface adapted to receive an electronic message; a display; and a memory 60, said memory being adapted to store image data representing at least one predefined icon to be presented on said display so as to indicate receipt of said electronic message, wherein said memory is adapted to store an association between the or each predefined icon and a sender of electronic messages; and wherein said controller is adapted to determine a sender of said received electronic message, to match the sender thus determined with the or each predefined icon by way of said association, and to present a matching icon, if any, on said display to indicate receipt of said received electronic message as well as the sender thereof, as taught by

Kamimura, the motivation being in order to help a user identify a sender/caller easily by looking

at the displayed images.

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the

limitations in claim 1. Further, Kamimura discloses an apparatus wherein said electronic

message is of a type having a control data portion and a message data portion, the control data

portion including a message sender identity, wherein said controller is adapted to determine the

sender of said received electronic message from the message sender identity ([0078] to [0081]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the invention of Jambhenkar et al. by specifically including an

apparatus wherein said electronic message is of a type having a control data portion and a

message data portion, the control data portion including a message sender identity, wherein said

controller is adapted to determine the sender of said received electronic message from the

message sender identity, as taught by Kamimura, the motivation being in order to help a user

identify a sender/caller easily by looking at the displayed images.

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the

limitations in claim 2. Further, Jambhenkar et al. disclose an apparatus wherein said electronic

message is an SMS or MMS message (col. 1, lines 45-55).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the

limitations in claim 2. Further, Jambhenkar et al. disclose an apparatus wherein said message

sender identity is a telephone number for a mobile telecommunications system such as GSM,

UMTS, D-AMPS or CDMA2000 (col. 1, lines 45-55).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the limitations in claim 2. Further, Jambhenkar et al. disclose an apparatus wherein said electronic message is an email message (col. 1, lines 45-55).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the limitations in claim 1. Furthermore, Kamimura discloses an apparatus wherein said controller is adapted to simultaneously present a plurality of matching icons on said display to indicate a corresponding plurality of received messages ([0078] to [0081]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Jambhenkar et al. by specifically including an apparatus wherein said controller is adapted to simultaneously present a plurality of matching icons on said display to indicate a corresponding plurality of received messages, as taught by Kamimura, the motivation being in order to help a user identify a sender/caller of the received message.

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the limitations in claim 1. Furthermore, Jambhenkar et al. disclose an apparatus wherein said controller is adapted to display, for each presented matching icon, a numeric indicator to indicate a current number of unread messages received from a respective sender associated with each presented matching icon (col. 6, lines 10-48).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the limitations in claim 1. Furthermore, Jambhenkar et al. disclose an apparatus wherein said controller is adapted to enhance the presentation of the or each presented icon with a visual effect such as animation, scrolling, morphing, flashing or changing colors ([0034] to [0063]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to modify the invention of Jambhenkar et al. by specifically including an apparatus wherein said controller is adapted to enhance the presentation of the or each presented icon with a visual effect such as animation, scrolling, morphing, flashing or changing colors, as taught by Kamimura, the motivation being in order to help the user identifies a particular sender or call.

Page 7

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the limitations in claim 1. Furthermore, Jambhenkar et al. disclose an apparatus further comprising at least one of a phonebook address book or contact book, wherein the association between the or each predefined icon and a sender of electronic messages is stored in an entry in said phonebook, address book or contact book (col. 5, line 38 to col. 6, line 10).

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the limitations in claim 9. Further, Kamimura discloses an apparatus wherein the association comprises a link to an image file, which is stored outside of said phonebook entry, address book entry or contact book entry but inside said memory, and which contains image data that defines the or each predefined icon ([0039] to [0042]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Jambhenkar et al. by specifically including an apparatus wherein the association comprises a link to an image file, which is stored outside of said phonebook entry, address book entry or contact book entry but inside said memory, and which contains image data that defines the or each predefined icon, as taught by Kamimura, the motivation being in order to save memory of the phone book.

Regarding claim 11, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the limitations in claim 9. Further, Kamimura discloses an apparatus wherein the association

Page 8

comprises image data that defines the or each predefined icon and is stored in said phonebook entry (842), address book entry or contact book entry ([0039] to [0042]).

Regarding claim 12, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the limitations in claim 9. Further, Jambhenkar et al. disclose an apparatus wherein the association further comprises a message sender identity wherein said electronic message is of a type having a control data portion and a message data portion the control data portion including a message sender identity, wherein said controller is adapted to determine the sender of said received electronic message from the message sender identity ([0039] to [0043]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Jambhenkar et al. by specifically including an apparatus wherein the association further comprises a message sender identity wherein said electronic message is of a type having a control data portion and a message data portion the control data portion including a message sender identity, wherein said controller is adapted to determine the sender of said received electronic message from the message sender identity, as taught by Kamimura, the motivation being in order to inform the user identifies a sender/caller..

Regarding claim 13, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the limitations in claim 1. However, Jambhenkar et al. do not disclose an apparatus further comprising an element for adding a new icon to said memory, and element for generating in said memory a new association between said new icon and a sender of electronic messages ([0078] to [0081]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Jambhenkar et al. by specifically including an apparatus further comprising an element for adding a new icon to said memory, and element for Art Unit: 2617

generating in said memory a new association between said new icon and a sender of electronic messages, as taught by Kamimura, the motivation being in order to add or update a new sender/caller into the memory.

Regarding claim 14, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the limitations in claim 13. Further, Kamimura discloses an apparatus wherein said means for adding a new icon comprises an image editor in said apparatus ([0039] to [0047]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Jambhenkar et al. by specifically including an apparatus wherein said means for adding a new icon comprises an image editor in said apparatus, as taught by Kamimura, the motivation being in order to add or update a new sender/caller into the memory.

Regarding claim 15, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the limitations in claim 13. Further, Kamimura discloses an apparatus wherein said means for adding a new icon comprises a communications interface of said communication apparatus ([0039] to [0047]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Jambhenkar et al. by specifically including an apparatus wherein said means for adding a new icon comprises a communications interface of said communication apparatus, as taught by Kamimura, the motivation being in order to add or update a new sender/caller into the memory.

Regarding claim 16, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the limitations in claim 15. Further, Jambhenkar et al. disclose an apparatus wherein said communications interface is at least one of: a serial interface; a short-range supplementary radio

Application/Control Number: 10/533,245 Page 10

Art Unit: 2617

data interface; a WAP compatible interface; and an RF interface for a mobile telecommunications system 105 and 113 (see fig. 1)

Regarding claim 17, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the limitations in claim 15. Further, Jambhenkar et al. disclose an apparatus wherein said communications interface is the same as said interface adapted to receive an electronic message (col. 7, lines 10-48).

Regarding claim 18, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the limitations in claim 1. Further, Jambhenkar et al. disclose an apparatus wherein said communication apparatus is a portable telecommunication apparatus (col. 1, lines 45-55).

Regarding claim 19, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1.

Regarding claim 20, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 2.

Regarding claim 21, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 3.

Regarding claim 22, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 4.

Regarding claim 23, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 5.

Regarding claim 24, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 6.

Regarding claim 26, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 7.

Regarding claim 27, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 8.

Regarding claim 29, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 9.

Regarding claim 30, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 10.

Regarding claim 31, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 11.

Regarding claim 33, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 13.

Regarding claim 34, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 14.

Regarding claim 35, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 15.

Regarding claim 36, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 16.

Regarding claim 37, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 17.

Regarding claim 38, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jambhenkar et al. (U.S. 6430405) in view of Kamimura (Pub. No: 20020094806) and further in view of Burns et al. (Pub. No: 20020126146).

Regarding claim 25, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the limitations in claim 19. However, Jambhenkar et al. do not disclose a method performed repeatedly for a plurality of received messages so that only the last received message, irrespective of sender, is indicated by its matching icon, if any, on the display.

In the same field of endeavor, Burns et al. disclose a method performed repeatedly for a plurality of received messages so that only the last received message, irrespective of sender, is indicated by its matching icon, if any, on the display (fig. 1A and fig. 1B, [0019] to [0026]).

message when searching quickly for a message.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Jambhenkar et al. by specifically including a method performed repeatedly for a plurality of received messages so that only the last received message, irrespective of sender, is indicated by its matching icon, if any, on the display, as taught by Burns et al., the motivation being in order to optimize the screen space available to provide sufficient viewing of information that would otherwise be obscured or truncated. In addition, it

is desirable to view the message information within the message list without opening the

6. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jambhenkar et al. (U.S. 6430405) in view of Kamimura (Pub. No: 20020094806) and further in view of Hsu (U.S. 5907604).

Regarding claim 28, the combination of Jambhenkar et al. and Kamimura disclose all the limitations in claim 19. However, Jambhenkar et al. do not disclose a method wherein a default icon is presented on said display to indicate said received electronic message, in case no matching icon has been determined.

In the same field of endeavor, Kamimura discloses a method wherein a default icon is presented on said display to indicate said received electronic message, in case no matching icon has been determined (col. 6, lines 44-53)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Jambhenkar et al. by specifically including a method wherein a default icon is presented on said display to indicate said received electronic

Application/Control Number: 10/533,245 Page 13

Art Unit: 2617

message, in case no matching icon has been determined, as taught by Hsu, the motivation being in order to inform the user there is an incoming call and the user determines whether to allow the

call to go through or block the call.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dai A Phuong whose telephone number is 571-272-7896. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eisen Alexander can be reached on 571-272-7687. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-7503.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Dai A Phuong/

Examiner, Art Unit 2617

Date: 10/28/2008

/Alexander Eisen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617